arXiv:1605.01633v3 [hep-th] 19 May 2016

Quantum revivals in free field CFT

J.S.Dowker[1]

Theory Group,
School of Physics and Astronomy,
The University of Manchester,
Manchester, England

A commentary is made on the recent work by Cardy, ArXiv:1603. 08267, on quantum revivals and higher dimensional CFT. The actual expressions used here are those derived some time ago. The calculation is extended to fermion fields for which the power spectrum involves the odd divisor function. Comments are made on the equivalence of operator counting and eigenvalue methods, which is quickly verified. An explanation of the rational revivals for odd spheres is given in terms of wrongly quantised fields.

[1] dowker@man.ac.uk; dowkeruk@yahoo.co.uk

1. Introduction and summary.

In a discussion of the topic of quantum revivals, on quenching, in the context of conformal field theory, Cardy, [1], when extending his previous 2d analysis, [2], to higher dimensions, encounters, for free fields, what is, essentially, finite temperature theory on a generalised Einstein universe, *i.e.* a 'generalised torus', more particularly a generalised cylinder $I \times \mathcal{M}$. I is an interval and $\mathcal{M}$ here is a d–sphere.[2]

The precise object sought is the return amplitude $A(t,*) = \left|\langle\psi_0 \mid e^{-iHt} \mid \psi_0\rangle\right|$ for some quenching initial state, $\mid \psi_0\rangle$. A is a function of the evolution time, t, and whatever parameters $\mid \psi_0\rangle$ depends on. H is the field Hamiltonian.

For the particular, conformal choice of $\mid \psi_0\rangle = e^{-\beta H/4} \mid D\rangle$, $\mid D\rangle$ being a Dirichlet boundary state, $A(t,\beta)$ is determined by the partition function, Z, on a generalised cylinder, with Dirichlet conditions on the interval, $I(\beta/2) = [0, \beta/2]$. The specific expression is,

$$\log A(t,\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left(\log Z\big(\mathcal{M} \times I(\beta/2 + it)\big) - \log Z(\mathcal{M} \times I(\beta/2))\right). \qquad (1)$$

For fixed β, the second term can be omitted for graphical purposes. The parameter β is ultimately interpreted as an inverse temperature and I will formally treat it as such.

I wish to draw attention to earlier calculations, [3–5], of $\log Z$ as the log determinant of the relevant propagating operator, $\mathcal{O}$, on the cylinder,

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\det\mathcal{O} = -\frac{1}{2}\zeta'_{\mathcal{O}}(0)\,. \qquad (2)$$

I have used the ζ–function definition of a functional determinant.

The free energy has also been derived in [6].

In this paper, I present some technical remarks, in the free field setting, taking earlier work into account, and make some connections which might be interesting.

The fundamental equations are recalled in section 2, closely following [1], and the return amplitude evaluated using earlier found expressions for the partition function. The results, of course, are the same as in [1]. Section 3 discusses the consequences of modular invariance, introduced a little differently to [1] with the same conclusion but for all dimensions. In section 4, these calculations are repeated for the spin–half, fermion field, a case not considered in [1]. The power spectrum is

[2] My d differs from that in [1] by 1.

given in section 5 and found to involve the odd divisor function. Section 6 treats the situation where the spatial d–sphere is quotiented by a cyclic group. An explanation of the sign reversed revivals using 'wrongly quantised' fields is made in section 7. In Appendix A, the equivalence of the operator counting and eigenvalue methods is verified and Appendix B derives expressions for boson and fermion entanglement entropies.

2. The calculations

The first step in the evaluation of the determinant was to rearrange the interval modes. In [3–5] this was neatly expressed in ζ–function terms as

$$\zeta(I \times \mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\zeta(S^1 \times \mathcal{M}) \mp \zeta(\mathcal{M})\big)\,,$$

where the $\pm$ gives Neumann and Dirichlet conditions on the interval. Inserted into (1) the second term cancels, being β independent. This conclusion is also reached by Cardy.

Equation (1) can therefore be replaced by

$$\log A(t,\beta) = \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Re}\left(\log Z\big(\mathcal{M} \times S^1(\beta/2 + it)\big) - \log Z(\mathcal{M} \times S^1(\beta/2))\right). \qquad (3)$$

i

As noted and used in [3–5], the main problem then reduces to a thermal one on the Einstein universe, which is a topic with a history, (*e.g.* [7–10]). S^1 can be referred to as the thermal circle. Consult also [11].

In general, in ζ–function regularisation on any manifold, the effective action, essentially $\log Z$, consists of a divergence, with an associated logarithm, plus a finite part which has the form (2).

The divergence and logarithmic parts are controlled by the conformal anomaly on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{I}$ and a simple argument shows that for conformal coupling (for odd dimensional spheres) this anomaly is zero. It is automatically zero for even spheres.

This being so, on the basis of ζ–function regularisation on can set, in (3), for bosons,

$$\log Z = \frac{1}{2}\zeta'_{\mathcal{O}}(0)\,,$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is the thermal propagation operator.

Notationally, from now on, in order to avoid confusion, I set $\Xi = \log Z$. This includes the zero temperature part, Ξ_0, and totally $\Xi = \Xi_0 + \Xi'$, Ξ' being the finite

temperature correction. The reason for this is that in [1] and elsewhere, Z refers to the usual partition function, the sum over Fock space states *i.e.* $\log Z$ is just Ξ'. Actually it does not matter whether Ξ or Ξ' is used in (1) as Ξ_0 cancels on taking the difference and real part.[3]

The general statistical sum eqn.(31) or, equivalently (55), in [12] can be written to give

$$\beta F = \beta E_0 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} K^{1/2}(m\beta)\,. \tag{4}$$

F is the conventional free energy, $\beta F = -\Xi$ and $K^{1/2}$ is the degeneracy generating function or, equivalently, the 'cylinder kernel' for the pseudo operator (Hamiltonian) $\sqrt{D}$, D being the propagating operator on $\mathcal{M}$. In the present instance this is related to the conformally invariant (Yamabe–Penrose) Laplacian, Y, by $D = Y + 1/4$. $K^{1/2}$ is a single particle sum–over–states partition function. E_0 is the zero temperature, Casimir energy.

For the present spherical situation, the expression is given explicitly in [6], equn.(78), for $\mathcal{M}$ an orbifold quotient of the sphere, S^d, in particular for a hemisphere, and thence, by addition, for a full sphere. The expressions for this latter case can also, conveniently, just be read off from [3–5].

Since, notationally, I am generally adhering to [1], I give the relation with the parameters used in [3–5] (shown first),

$$L = \beta/2\,, \quad a = L/2\pi\,.$$

a is the sphere radius. In order to simplify the exposition, I set now $a = 1$ *i.e.* $L = 2\pi$.

The expressions given in [3–5] and [6] imply that, [4]

$$\Xi'_d(\beta) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \cosh(m\beta/2)\, \mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\,, \tag{5}$$

which holds for odd and even sphere dimensions.

As remarked, it is sufficient to use (5) to display the return amplitude, (1). Figs. 1 and 2 plot $\Xi'_d(\beta + 2i\pi s)$ for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$. s equals t/π.

[3] This being the case, one does not really need the full apparatus of ζ–function regularisation.

[4] An outline of the derivation is given in Appendix A.

Fig.1. log return amplitude, d=2



Fig.2. logreturn d=3



These are both plotted in [1] but I have extended the horizontal range a little in order to accentuate any periodicity.

3. Modular invariance

Cardy relates the partial revivals at rational values of s, evidenced by the maxima in the curves, to the modular properties of the free energy. Actually, it is the internal energy (including the Casimir term) that has the simpler behaviour (for odd d). In the Einstein universe, this was early recognised, [7], [13], and also was discussed by Cardy [14] in a conformal field theory context, specific higher dimensions being considered. A few comments occur in [15] and a more extensive

analysis was given in [16] for odd dimensional spheres. Further calculations are provided in [17] for different field contents and an extension to AdS_d.

I recapitulate a few details of [16]. The mode structure (eigenlevels and their degeneracies) on spheres is an ancient topic and needs no explanation. The upshot is that, in the usual description, the conformal eigenlevels are squares of integers, say n^2, with degeneracies that are polynomials in n^2.

It is best, for present purposes, to treat the terms in this polynomial individually and then, if required, reconstitute the full expression. Selecting the $(2l-2)$ power, the standard statistical formula gives for the corresponding 'partial' energy

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_l(\xi) &= \epsilon_l(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2l-1} q^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}} \\ &\equiv \epsilon_l(0) + \epsilon_l'(\xi)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

ϵ' is the energy finite temperature correction.

I have rescaled the energy by the sphere radius, a, (= 1 here) introduced the dimensionless parameter $\xi = 2\pi a/\beta$ and defined $q = \exp(-\pi/\xi)$. The quantity $\epsilon_l(0)$ is the (scaled) partial Casimir energy. Explicitly,

$$\epsilon_l(0) = -\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l}\,, \tag{7}$$

in terms of Bernoulli numbers, $\mathcal{B}$.

The easiest way of showing the inversion symmetry,

$$\frac{1}{\xi^l}\,\epsilon_l(\xi) = (-1)^l \xi^l\,\epsilon_l(1/\xi)\,, \tag{8}$$

is to relate ϵ to a (holomorphic) Eisenstein series,

$$G_l(\omega_1,\omega_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=-\infty}^{\infty}{}' \frac{1}{\left(m_1\omega_1 + m_2\omega_2\right)^{2l}}\,, \tag{9}$$

by

$$\epsilon_l(\xi) = (-1)^l\, C(l)\, G_l(1, i/\xi)$$

($C(l)$ is an inessential constant). This connection is a basic result in analytic number theory. The inversion symmetry, (8), follows immediately. More generally, the expression is invariant under the modular group action on the periods ω_1, ω_2. The translational generator is $\mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{b} - i$ with, for convenience and to agree with a previous notation, [18], I have put $\mathsf{b} \equiv \xi^{-1}$.

Note that the Casimir energy appears naturally. If it is extracted according to (6), equation (8) reads

$$\frac{1}{\xi^l}\big(\epsilon_l(0)+\epsilon_l'(\xi)\big)=(-1)^l\xi^l\big(\epsilon_l(0)+\epsilon_l'(1/\xi)\big)\,. \tag{10}$$

In this form, the identity can be traced back at least to Ramanujan, see [16].

To relate the high and low temperature regimes, let ξ become large in (10). From its form, $\epsilon'(1/\xi)$ tends to zero exponentially fast[5] and so, up to the such terms,

$$\epsilon_l'(\xi)+\epsilon_l(0)\approx(-1)^l\,\epsilon_l(0)\xi^{2l}\equiv\sigma_l\,\xi^{2l}\ \sim\sigma_l T^{2l} \tag{11}$$

connecting high temperature on the left, to low temperature (the $\epsilon_l(0)$) on the right. The right–hand side is the (partial) Planck term [6] and σ_l is a (partial) Stefan–Boltzmann constant, which is positive, using (7).

For the d–dimensional sphere, the actual energy, aE, is a sum of ϵ_l where l runs from 2 to $(d+1)/2$ and the high temperature behaviour is a sum of terms like (11). That there are only a finite number agrees with the general expression for the high temperature limit in terms of the heat–kernel coefficients, [12], [19]. This is because the conformal heat–kernel expansion terminates on odd spheres (up to exponential corrections). The inversion properties of E are therefore not straightforwardly expressed, apart from the three–sphere when $l=2$. However for many purposes, the dominant term is given by $l=(d+1)/2$ and suffices.

More logically, the expansion for the free energy ($\beta F=-\Xi$) would be derived, as in [12], from first principles, the energy following by differentiation ($E=\partial(\beta F)/\partial\beta$). To utilise the inversion behaviour, (8), this procedure is reversed (*cf* [1]).

According to the expression (1) for the return amplitude, one needs to make the replacement $\xi^{-1}\to\xi^{-1}+is$ in the thermodynamic quantities. The analysis is eased by taking ξ large. Then the revivals at rational s are more pronounced because the initial value (the Planck term) is large when $\xi\to\infty$ and $s\sim 0$. In this case it is more convenient to use b. The standard Planck contribution to the free energy then says that the initial (partial) log return amplitude, (1), is

$$\log A_l(s)\approx C_l\,\mathrm{Re}\,\frac{1}{(\mathsf{b}+is)^{2l-1}}\,,\quad s\ \text{small}\,. \tag{12}$$

[5] This is generally true, for finite systems, [12].

[6] This corresponds to the Weyl universal term in the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues.

where the constant $C_l = 2\pi\sigma_l/(2l-1)$.

The total amplitude is a linear combination of the $\log A_l$. For small enough b and s this is dominated by the first term, *i.e.* the one with the largest l, $=(d+1)/2$. [7] It can be checked graphically that this gives a good approximation to the complete quantity obtained from (5).

Because of the translational invariance under $\mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{b} \pm i$, there will be identical copies of the initial behaviour, (12), around integral s. Multiple translations, combined with inversion replicates this behaviour, with reduced amplitude, at rational s. The argument is as follows, [1].

The modular relation, (10), is written in terms of the partial finite temperature correction part of Ξ, Ξ'_l, which is the quantity plotted. Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\,\Xi'_l(\xi) = (-1)^{l+1}\xi^{2l-2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\,\Xi'_l(1/\xi) + (-1)^l 2\pi\epsilon_l(0)\left((-1)^l\xi^l - \xi^{-l}\right). \qquad (13)$$

The simplest case is one inversion combined with a translation of ξ^{-1} by im, with m integral. This converts the region around $s = 1/m$ to that around $s = 0$. The first region is accessed by setting $\xi^{-1} \approx \frac{\beta}{2\pi} + i/m + i\epsilon$ with ϵ (and β) small. One requires the left–hand side in this region. This is provided by the right–hand side. Then one has $\xi \approx -im + \frac{\beta}{2\pi}m^2 + i\epsilon\, m^2$. Translating away the $-im$ gives $\xi \to m^2(\beta/2\pi + i\epsilon)$. Since this is small, the right–hand side is well approximated by the high temperature form (11), on ignoring the last term. Hence

$$\Xi'_l\Big|_{s=1/m+\epsilon} \sim (-1)^{l-1}(-im)^{2l-2}\,\frac{1}{(\mathsf{b}+i\epsilon)^{2l-1}m^{4l-2}}\,.$$

This shows that the profile around $s = 1/m$ is the same as that around $s = 0$, except for a reduction in amplitude by a factor of $1/m^{2l}$. For the dominant term this equals $1/m^{d+1}$ which can be checked numerically from the complete expression, (5).

Repeating this procedure, [2], allows the revivals at the rational points n/m to be obtained The result is the same, with the amplitude reduction still $1/m^{d+1}$.

This analysis is just for odd spheres, but the complete expressions, (5), hold for *all* d and it is these that have been plotted. It is noticed empirically that there are also revivals for even d, but the structure is not straighforwardly analysed.

[7] It is conventional, in a general manifold, to refer to just this term as *the* Planck term although it is not strictly thermal. Cardy refers to it as the Casimir term

4. Spin–half

The analysis can be repeated for spin-1/2 fields. Mixed boundary conditions are conformally invariant. There are two such boundary conditions, which yield identical spectral results and are effectively fermionic (*i.e.* antisymmetric on the thermal circle). The relevant formulae on the generalised cylinder and torus are given in [3], [4].

The fermion effective action leads to,

$$\Xi_d^f = \beta\, E_0^f + \Xi_d^{f\,\prime}(\beta)\,, \tag{14}$$

where E_0^f is the classic Dirac Casimir energy on the d–sphere and the correction $\Xi_d^{f\,\prime}$ is found to be,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_d^{f\,\prime}(\beta) &= -\frac{2}{2^{[(d-1)/2]}}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\frac{1}{m}\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\\ &= -\frac{2}{2^{[(d-1)/2]}}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\Big(\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta) - \mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\Big)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

As explained before, just the second term on the right–hand side of (14) is plotted. As examples, revivals, partial and complete, can be seen for the $d = 1$, $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ cases shown in figs.3, 4 and 5.

Fig.3. fermion logreturn d=1



Fig.4. fermion logreturn d=2



Fig.5. fermion logreturn d=3



As for the scalar field, these can be traced to the modular invariance of the spinor partial total internal energy, $\eta_l(\xi)$, which is related, for odd d, to a doubly sign–modulated Eisenstein series,

$$H_l(\omega_1,\omega_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=-\infty}^{\infty\,\prime} \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_2}}{\left(m_1\omega_1+m_2\omega_2\right)^{2l}}\,, \tag{16}$$

through, [16],

$$\eta_l(\xi) = (-1)^{l+1}\, C_f(l)\, H_l(1, i/\xi)\,.$$

C_f is an unrequired constant.

$\eta_l(\xi)$ therefore enjoys the same inversion properties, for odd spheres, as the scalar quantity, $\epsilon_l(\xi)$. The translation behaviour is altered because of the twisting. For odd spheres the periodicity is now 2. This also follows from the total expression (15). In fig.5, the complete period is obtained by reflecting in the line, $s = 1$.

Because of this periodicity, the attenuations to $s = 1/m$ from $s = 0$, for m even, and from $s = 1$, for m odd, both equal $1/m^{d+1}$. A more extended analysis is presented in section 7.

The maximum at $s = 1$ can be investigated from the forms (15) which show, in addition, that the periodicity is 1 for even spheres.

The underlying mechanism giving rise to revivals at the rationals for even spheres has yet to be elucidated.

5. The fermion power spectrum

Since Cardy provides a treatment of the scalar case, I need present only the fermion analysis.

Working in terms of the partial quantities (therefore only odd spheres are covered) the Fourier series form of the q–series for η_l is standard elliptic fare. Glaisher, [20,21], conveniently has the requisite lists. The fermion series is, (see [16] equn.(20)),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2n+1)^{2l-1}\frac{q^{2n+1}}{1+q^{2n+1}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\Delta_{2l-1}(n)\,q^n\,, \tag{17}$$

where $\Delta_k(n)$ is the odd divisor function related to the usual one, σ_k, by

$$\Delta_k(n) = \sigma_k(n) - 2^k\sigma_k(n/2)\,,$$

with σ_k at a half–integer defined zero.

In the case under consideration, q takes the form $q = e^{-\beta/2+i\pi s}$.

Equation (17) refers to the energy. To find $\Xi^{f\prime}$ an integration with respect to β yields the factor $2/n$. Then, taking the real part gives,

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\Xi^{f\prime}_l \approx 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\frac{1}{n}\Delta_{2l-1}(n)\,e^{-\beta n/2}\cos(\pi n s)\,,$$

implying the power spectrum amplitude,

$$\frac{2}{n}\Delta_{2l-1}(n)\,e^{-\beta n/2}\,.$$

Fig.6. Fermion power spectrum



Fig.6 plots this out for $l = 2$, corresponding to the three–sphere. The apparently different curves are due to the behaviour of the odd divisor function.

n measures the frequency in units of 1. Even frequencies are suppressed, some severely. The curves for the higher spheres are similar in shape but more extreme.

6. Spherical factors

Taking quotients of the sphere does not destroy any conformal properties and one can pursue the same path to find the return amplitude. However, the exact inversion behaviour is lost, [22].

The free energy, and hence Ξ, were given in [6] for the quotients by a regular solid symmetry group, Γ. In particular for the cyclic case ($\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_B$, $B \in \mathbb{Z}$) the formula easily gives, see also [22],

$$\Xi_d(\beta, B) = -\beta E_{d,0}(B) + \Xi'_d(\beta, B)\,,$$

where,

$$\Xi'_d(\beta, B) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \coth(mB\beta/2)\, \mathrm{cosech}^{\,d-1}(m\beta/2)\,.$$

Fig.7. Periodic lune logreturn, d=3



Again, the Casimir term, E_0, can be ignored for plotting and, as an example, the log return for the odd dimensional $B = 3$ periodic lune is shown in fig.7. The period is 1 and there are returns at the rationals, just as for the full sphere, $B = 1$. Only the vertical scale changes as B varies, despite the lack of inversion symmetry for $B \neq 1$. In fact the attenuation factor is independent of B.

Taking the $B \to \infty$ limit one finds

$$\Xi'_d(\beta, \infty) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \mathrm{cosech}^{\,d-1}(m\beta/2)\,,$$

which is, to a factor, the Ξ' for a bosonic spinor in one dimension less. (See next section).

7. Spin–zero fermions and spin–half bosons

Cardy, [1], explained the integer revivals at $s = 1, 3, \ldots$ for even spheres, Fig.1, in terms of a fermionic scalar $\log Z$. A more extensive treatment is possible for odd spheres as I now show.

First I present some facts which result from an inspection of Glaisher's tables in [21]. Referring to that on p.64, I compare the q–series second from top with the one second from bottom, *viz.*,

$$X'(q) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)^{2l-1} q^{2n}}{1 + q^{2n}}$$

$$Y'(q) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)^{2l-1} q^{2n+1}}{1 - q^{2n+1}}\,.$$

X' corresponds to the (partial) internal energy correction of a kinematic spin–zero field thermalised as a fermion, and Y' to that for a kinematic spin–half field thermalised as a boson.

For convenience, I give the corresponding standard boson and fermion series discussed above (as in (6) and (17)),

$$B'(q) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{(2n)^{2l-1}q^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}}$$
$$F'(q) = \sum_0^\infty \frac{(2n+1)^{2l-1}q^{2n+1}}{1+q^{2n+1}}\,.$$

Under translation, $q \to -q$, it is easily seen that $F'(-q) = -Y'(q)$. This explains the inverted revivals depicted in fig.5 as I now show in detail.

The modular behaviour under inversion ($q \to \tilde{q}$ with $\log q \log \tilde{q} = \pi^2$) can be determined from the double sum representations given in the table. These are singly twisted Eisenstein series for X' and Y'.

Glaisher's manipulations allow one to write, ($q = e^{-\mu}$),

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^s}{(s\mu+ri\pi)^{2l}} &= X'_l(q) + 2^{2l-1}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l} = \frac{\epsilon'^{(fs)}(\xi)+\epsilon^{(fs)}(0)}{2^{1-2l}}\\
\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^r}{(s\mu+ri\pi)^{2l}} &= Y'_l(q) + (2^{2l-1}-1)\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l} = \epsilon'^{(bd)}(\xi)+\epsilon^{(bd)}(0)\\
-\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{s+r}}{(s\mu+ri\pi)^{2l}} &= F'_l(q) - (2^{2l-1}-1)\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l} = \epsilon'^{(fd)}(\xi)+\epsilon^{(fd)}(0)\\
\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(s\mu+ri\pi)^{2l}} &= B'_l(q) - 2^{2l-1}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l} = \frac{\epsilon'^{(bs)}(\xi)+\epsilon^{(bs)}(0)}{2^{1-2l}}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

To accord with my previous notation I have $\mu = \pi/\xi$ and on the right have dropped the l label to avoid overload. Also f means fermionic, b bosonic, s scalar and d Dirac spinor.

As the notation indicates, $2^{1-2l}B'$ is the finite temperature correction part, ϵ', of the (partial) internal energy for the conventional scalar, see (6). F' is the corresponding quantity, η', for the conventional spinor. Similarly $2^{1-2l}X'$ and Y' are the finite temperature corrections for an anti–commuting scalar and commuting spinor, respectively. The quantities on the right–hand side of (18) are, to a factor, the total internal energies and it is these that satisfy the simplest modular behaviour,

as I show below. I denote them by X, Y, F and B. The vacuum energies of normal and odd fields with the same kinematics are equal and opposite, as expected.

As said, the double (Eisenstein) series allow the modular properties of these partial quantities under inversion $\xi \to \xi^{-1}$, *i.e.* $\mu \to \tilde{\mu}$, $\mu\tilde{\mu} = \pi^2$, to be determined. Set $\tilde{q} = e^{-\tilde{\mu}}$. The inversion behaviours of B_l and F_l have already been found, [16]. Now consider that for X_l,

$$\begin{aligned} X_l'(\tilde{q}) + 2^{2l-1}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l} &= -\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^s}{(s\tilde{\mu}+ri\pi)^{2l}} \\ &= -\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^s}{(s\pi^2/\mu+ri\pi)^{2l}} \\ &= -\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\left(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^{2l}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^s}{(s\pi+ri\mu)^{2l}} \\ &= -\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\left(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^{2l}(-1)^l\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^s}{(si\pi+r\mu)^{2l}} \\ &= -\frac{(2l-1)!}{4}\left(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^{2l}(-1)^l\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^r}{(ri\pi+s\mu)^{2l}} \\ &= -(-1)^l\left(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^{2l}\left[Y_l'(q) + (2^{2l-1}-1)\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Therefore the finite temperature corrections are related by,[8]

$$'\left(\frac{\pi}{\mu}\right)^l X_l'(\tilde{q}) = -\left(-\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^l Y_l(q) - \left[2^{2l-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{\mu}\right)^l + \left(\frac{\mu}{\pi}\right)^l(2^{2l-1}-1)\right]\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l} \tag{20}$$

or

$$\xi^l X_l'(\xi^{-1}) = -(-1)^l\frac{1}{\xi^l}Y_l'(\xi) - \left[2^{2l-1}\xi^l + (-1)^l\frac{1}{\xi^l}(2^{2l-1}-1)\right]\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l}\,, \tag{21}$$

where I have reverted to $\xi = \pi/\mu$ and, for ease, use $X_l(\xi) \equiv X_l(q)$ *etc.*

The effect of translations ($q \to -q$ or $1/\xi \to 1/\xi + i$ or $\mu \to \mu + \pi i$ or $s \to s+1$) follows easily from the q–series

$$B_l(-q) = B_l(q)\,, \quad X_l(-q) = X_l(q) \quad \text{and} \quad F_l(-q) = -Y_l(q)\,. \tag{22}$$

The most interesting is the final transformation which interchanges commuting and anti–commuting spinors with a sign change.

[8] This is one of class of identities referred to by Berndt, [23], as 'hybrid'. See his Theorem 5.6.

As before, the inversion relation, (21), leads to the high temperature behaviour of X and Y. Letting $\xi \to \infty$ and $\xi \to 0$ in turn gives,

$$Y_l'(\xi) \to -(-1)^l\, 2^{2l-1}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l}\xi^{2l}$$
$$X_l'(\xi) \to -(-1)^l\,(2^{2l-1}-1)\frac{\mathcal{B}_{2l}}{4l}\xi^{2l}\,.$$

These results explain the revivals at the rationals for the fermion plots. The mechanism runs exactly parallel to that given by Cardy, [1], which was outlined above, and the details need not be repeated. Taking the revivals at $s = 1/m$, as before, the explanation involves an inversion, followed by a translation through m. It is easiest to treat m even and m odd separately for then the relevant translation in (22) reads,

$$F_l\big((-1)^{2e}\,q\big) = F_l(q)\,, \quad F_l\big((-1)^{2e+1}\,q\big) = -Y_l(q)\,. \tag{23}$$

The first equality means that the previous scalar analysis holds unchanged for F and the revival attenuation is again $1/m^{d+1}$, for m even. The same argument applies to $Y(q)$. Shifting the origin to $s = 1$, $Y(q)$ has revivals at $1/m'$ where m' is even. m and m' are related by $m' = m - 1$, because of the shift. Hence from (23), F will exhibit sign reversed revivals of Y at $s = 1/m$ for m odd. The attenuation for these two series of revivals is $1/m^{d+1}$ from $F(s = 0)$ and $F(s = 1)$

The relevant ratio in sizes between these two sets of revivals is given by the ratio of the corresponding Stefan–Boltzmann constants at the two starting points, $s = 0, 1$, *i.e.* ,

$$(1 - 2^{-2l+1})\,.$$

For $l = 2$, which is a good approximation for the three–sphere, this ratio equals $7/8$ which can be verified numerically from the complete expression for $\Xi' \sim \log Z$, also plotted in Fig.3.

These results are for the partial *energies*, but, for any d, the complete expressions are available for the partition function, Ξ', (5) and (15), for normal bosons and fermions. Then, for example, the normal spinor can be translated from $s = 0$ to $s = 1$ to give,

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\frac{1}{m}\,\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m(\beta+2\pi i)/2) &= -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\frac{1}{m}(-1)^{md}\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2) \\ &= -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\,, \quad d \text{ odd} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and this is minus the Ξ' for a spinor boson in agreement with (22), for the energy.

If d is even, the utility of partial quantities disappears. Only the complete expressions are available, and then just for translations. For scalars, one has,

$$\Xi'_d(\beta + 2\pi i) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m(d+1)}}{m} \cosh(m\beta/2) \operatorname{cosech}^d(m\beta/2)\,, \tag{25}$$

Hence if d is even,

$$\Xi'_d(\beta + 2\pi i) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m} \cosh(m\beta/2) \operatorname{cosech}^d(m\beta/2)\,, \tag{26}$$

which is the negative of a fermionic scalar.

In this way, the integer sign reversed revivals, in, say, Fig.1 can be understood, as noted in [1] (obtained slightly differently).

Glaisher also gives the power spectra of these two 'systems'. That for the bosonic spinor is the same as that for the normal spinor, Fig.6. The fermionic scalar spectrum for $l = 2$ is given in Fig.8.

Fig.8. Fermionic-scalar power spectrum



I recast some of the above more abstractly in terms of the S and T generators of the modular group. For this purpose, it is algebraically convenient to absorb the factors of ξ^2 in (8) by defining

$$\overline{\epsilon}_l(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi^2}\,\epsilon_l(\xi)$$

so that $\overline{\epsilon}_l(1/\xi) = (-1)^l\,\overline{\epsilon}_l(\xi)$, and likewise for X_l, Y_l, F_l and B_l.

The actions of the modular group can be summarised as,

$$\mathbf{S}\begin{pmatrix}\overline{F}\\ \overline{Y}\\ \overline{X}\end{pmatrix}_l = \begin{pmatrix}S\overline{F}\\ S\overline{Y}\\ S\overline{X}\end{pmatrix}_l = (-1)^l \begin{pmatrix}\overline{F}\\ -\overline{X}\\ -\overline{Y}\end{pmatrix}_l$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}\begin{pmatrix}\overline{F}\\ \overline{Y}\\ \overline{X}\end{pmatrix}_l = \begin{pmatrix}T\overline{F}\\ T\overline{Y}\\ T\overline{X}\end{pmatrix}_l = (-1)^l \begin{pmatrix}-\overline{Y}\\ -\overline{F}\\ \overline{X}\end{pmatrix}_l ,$$

so that the matrix representations are,

$$\mathbf{S} = (-1)^l \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 0\end{pmatrix} , \quad \mathbf{T} = (-1)^l \begin{pmatrix}0 & -1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} .$$

I separate $\overline{B}$ as it does not mix.

It is seen that, in addition to the usual relations, (*viz.* $\mathbf{S}^2 = \mathbf{1}$, $(\mathbf{ST})^{\mathbf{3}} = \mathbf{1}$) one has $\mathbf{T}^2 = \mathbf{1}$. I note that $X = ST\,F$.

The behaviour around a rational $s = p_1/p_2$ can be obtained from the modular transformation connecting the origin to the point $s = p_1/p_2$. This is achieved, as said in [2], using the transformation $T^{a_1} S\, T^{a_2} S \ldots$ where the a_i are the integers in the continued fraction form of p_1/p_2. (The order of the operators is such as to take $s = p_1/p_2$ back to $s = 0$.) It is clear from the above actions that one gets either F, $-Y$ or X, depending on the particular rational. The behaviour around any rational, if it can be discerned, could therefore be considered as an attenuated revival of one of these three functions, evaluated at its origin. Visible examples in Fig.5 are at $s = 1/2$ giving F, at $s = 1/3$ giving $-Y$ and at $s = 2/3$ giving X.[9]

I finally note that B, F, X and Y correspond to the set of elliptic functions, zs, ds, cs and ns, which are grouped together by Glaisher, [21].

8. Discussion and conclusion

This paper is, essentially, just a commentary on a recent work of Cardy, [1], concerning quantum revivals in higher dimensions, with some additions. The revivals at the rationals can be explained, for odd dimensional spheres, by modular

[9] I am taking the same connection between the energy and partition function that allows (13) to be derived.

behaviour. There is no such exact property for even spheres but rational revivals can still be detected.

As a small novelty, the calculations have been extended to the fermion field.

It is also shown, incidentally, that the entanglement entropy does not equal the thermodynamic one, except for $d = 1$, the torus.

Appendix A. The partition function, an observation

It is well known that there are two equivalent ways of evaluating the partition function. One relies on the CFT operator counting method (in flat space) and the other on solving the energy eigenvalue problem on the spatial section of the conformally related, curved manifold. Here, I would like to make a few technical remarks on this equivalence in the present set up, restricting myself to the scalar, boson field.

The most appropriate form of the operator counting method for me is that outlined in [14] and [1]. I have to repeat some of this known material in order to make my point. See also Kutasov and Larsen, [24].

Because of the conformal relation, the time translation generator on the (Euclidean) Einstein universe, $\mathrm{S}^1 \times \mathrm{S}^d$, is proportional to the scale generator on the flat $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. This implies that the energy of a state, E, equals the scaling dimension of the corresponding field which means that the partition function (actually just the sum over states part) is the generating function for the complete set of modular weights, Δ, *i.e.* ,

$$\Xi'(\beta) = \sum_{\Delta} e^{-\beta\Delta} \, .$$

The total set of independent operators in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is,

$$\prod_j \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} \partial_i^{n_i^{(j)}} \phi \, , \tag{27}$$

modulo the equation of motion, $\partial_i \partial^i \phi = 0$, a requirement that can be implemented by restricting $n_{d+1}^{(j)}$ to 0 and 1, as explained in [14,1]. This leaves $n_1 \ldots n_d$ as *unrestricted*, non-negative integers.

The scaling dimension of the operator, (27), then splits into two,

$$\Delta = \sum_j \left((d-1)/2 + n_1^{(j)} + \ldots + n_d^{(j)} \right) + \sum_j \left((d+1)/2 + n_1^{(j)} + \ldots + n_d^{(j)} \right) , \tag{28}$$

and the partition function factorises, implying,

$$\Xi'_d(\beta) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\exp -\beta(a_N + \mathbf{n}.\mathbf{1})} + \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\exp -\beta(a_D + \mathbf{n}.\mathbf{1})}\,, \qquad (29)$$

with $a_N = (d-1)/2,\ \ a_D = a_N + 1$.

The significance of this is that the quantities $a_{N,D} + \mathbf{n}.\mathbf{1}$ are recognised as the conformal single particle energies (the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{D}$) on a d–hemisphere with Neumann and Dirichlet conditions on the rim.[10] Uniting these gives the full sphere result and so the equivalence of the two approaches has been verified with no work. There is no need to perform the combinatorics in say (28) in order to obtain the degeneracies of the eigenlevels, nor any group theory likewise (in this simple case).

Equation (4) provides an alternative to (29) and, after very slight algebra, reads,

$$\Xi'_d(\beta) = \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \cosh(m\beta/2) \operatorname{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\,, \qquad (30)$$

as used above, (5). Expression (30) is derived in [6] [11] and also in [3–5].

This particular equivalence of approaches has been discussed recently in some detail by Beccaria, Bekaert and Tseytlin, [25], who employ degeneracies and apply the harmonic condition, $\partial_i \partial^i \phi = 0$, differently, so that there is no split, (29). They retrieve (30).

Appendix B. Entanglement entropy

While it is in view, the dependence on B given in the section 6 allows an entanglement entropy to be evaluated. B is the inverse of the replica covering, and there is a conical singularity on the manifold of extent $\mathrm{S}^1 \times \mathrm{S}^{d-1}$ which codimension 1 manifold forms the entangling surface. I give a few details.

According to the usual rule, the derivative of Ξ with respect to B at $B = 1$ is required. This formulae is

$$S_E = -\big(1 + B\partial_B\big)\, \Xi(B)\Big|_{B=1}\,. \qquad (31)$$

[10] It is interesting to remark that the pseudo–operator, $H_d = \sqrt{D_d}$, on the d–sphere can be defined recursively by $H_d = L \oplus H_{d-1}$ with L realised as the operator $-id/d\phi$ acting on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ twisted fields on the circle. L has eigenvalues $n + 1/2$ and iterating from $H_0 = \mp 1/2$ gives the eigenvalues on the N, D hemisphere mentioned above. $H_0^2 = 1/4$ means that the Yamabe–Penrose operator vanishes in dimension 0, consonant with its form.

[11] The spatial geometry was, more generally, an orbifolded sphere.

First, for bosons, the sum part gives,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B}\Xi'_d(\beta,B)\Big|_{B=1} = -\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\frac{m\beta}{2}\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d+1}(m\beta/2)$$

and

$$\Xi'_d(\beta,1) = \frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\coth(m\beta/2)\,\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d-1}(m\beta/2)\,,$$

so the sum contribution to the entanglement entropy S is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{m\beta}{2}\mathrm{cosech}^{\,2}(m\beta/2)+\coth(m\beta/2)\right)\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d-1}(m\beta/2)\\ &=\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{m\beta}{2}\mathrm{cosech}\,(m\beta/2)+\cosh(m\beta/2)\,.\right)\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The vacuum energy on a lune of angle $2\pi/B$ is given in [6] (see also [26])as a generalised Bernoulli polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned}E_0(1) &= -B^{(d)}_{d+1}\big((d+1)/2\mid\mathbf{1}\big)\\ (\partial/\partial B)E_0(B)\big|_{B=1} &= -B^{(d+1)}_{d+1}\big((d+1)/2\mid\mathbf{1}\big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Turning now to the fermion case, the eigenvalues of the propagating Dirac operator on the d–dimensional periodic B–lune are again perfect squares for conformal in $d+1$ dimensions,

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{n}}(B) = \big(a+\mathbf{n}.\boldsymbol{\omega}\big)^2 \quad \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}\ldots\infty\,, \tag{32}$$

where the d–vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ equals $(B,\mathbf{1})$ and $a=(d-1+B)/2$. The corresponding cylinder kernel is then

$$\begin{aligned}K_B^{1/2}(\tau) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} e^{-(a+\mathbf{n}.\omega)\tau}\\ &= \frac{1}{2^d}\prod_{i=1}^{d}\mathrm{cosech}\,(\omega_i\tau/2)\\ &= \frac{1}{2^d}\,\mathrm{cosech}\,(B\tau/2)\,\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d-1}(\tau/2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

By general statistical mechanics, the fermion free energy reads,

$$-\beta F = \Xi^f = -\beta E_0^f(B) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\frac{1}{m}\,K_B^{1/2}(m\beta)\,, \tag{34}$$

where $E_0^f(B)$ is the fermion Casimir energy on the lune.

The entanglement entropy entails a derivative with respect to B and the sum contribution involves

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B}\, K_B^{1/2}(m\beta)\Big|_{B=1} = -\frac{m\beta}{2^{d+1}}\coth(m\beta/2)\,\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)$$

and

$$K_1^{1/2}(m\beta) = \frac{1}{2^d}\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\,.$$

Hence from (31) the corresponding contribution to the entanglement entropy is

$$S_2^f = \frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m}\,\mathrm{cosech}^{\,d}(m\beta/2)\left(1-\frac{m\beta}{2}\coth(m\beta/2)\right).$$

To complete the evaluation, the Casimir term part is required. This is linear in β,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^f &= -\beta\big(E_0(1) + \partial/\partial B\, E_0(B)\big|_{B=1}\big) \\ &\equiv A_d^f\,\beta \end{aligned}$$

and can be deduced from the relevant ζ–function which is again a Barnes one and, drawing upon [26], for odd d, this time,

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^f(1) &= B_{d+1}^{(d)}\big(d/2+1 \mid \mathbf{1}\big) \\ (\partial/\partial B)E_0^f(B)\big|_{B=1} &= B_{d+1}^{(d+1)}\big(d/2+1 \mid \mathbf{1}\big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

These numbers are easily computed d by d.

For $d=1$, similar expressions (actually modularly transformed) are derived in [27] in a somewhat more involved way using twist operators.

It is interesting to compare the entanglement and the thermodynamical entropy, which is defined by $S_T = \big(1-\beta(\partial/\partial\beta)\big)\Xi(\beta,1)$. It is easy to show that they are not equal, unless $d=1$.

References.

1. Cardy,J. *Quantum revivals in Conformal Field Theories in Higher Dimensions*, ArXiv:1603.08267
2. Cardy,J. *Thermalization and Revivals after a Quantum Quench in Conformal Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 220401.
3. Apps,J.S. *The effective action on a curved space and its conformal properties* PhD thesis (University of Manchester, 1996).
4. Dowker,J.S. and Apps,J.S., *Further functional determinants*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **12** (1995) 1363; ArXiv:hep-th/9502015.
5. Dowker,J.S. and Apps,J.S., *Functional determinants on certain domains*, *Int. J.Mod.Phys.***5** (1996) 799. ArXiv:hep-th/9506205
6. Chang,P. and Dowker,J.S. *Vacuum energy on orbifold factors of spheres*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B395** (1993) 407.
7. Dowker,J.S. and Critchley,R. *Vacuum stress tensor in an Einstein universe: Finite temperature effects*, *Phys. Rev.* **D15** (1977) 1484.
8. Kennedy,G. *Topological symmetry restoration*, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 2884.
9. Unwin,S.D. *Selected quantum field theory effects in multiply connected space-times*. Thesis, University of Manchester, 1980.
10. Altaie,M.B. and Dowker,J.S. *Spinor fields in an Einstein universe: Finite temperature effects,Phys. Rev.* **D18** (1978) 3557.
11. Cappelli,A. and Costa,A. *On the stress tensor of conformal field theories in higher dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B314** (1989) 707.
12. Dowker,J.S. and Kennedy,G. *Finite temperature and boundary effects in static space–times*, *J. Phys.* **A11** (1978) 895.
13. Candelas,P. and Dowker,J.S. *Field theories on conformally related space-times: Some global considerations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 2902.
14. Cardy,J. *Operator content and modular properties of higher dimensional conformal field theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B366** (1991) 403.
15. Dowker,J.S., *Zero modes, entropy bounds and partition functions*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **20** (2003) L105.
16. Dowker,J.S. and Kirsten,K. *Elliptic functions and temperature inversion on spheres. Nucl. Phys.* **B638** (2002) 405.
17. Gibbons,G.W., Perry,M.J. and Pope,C.N. *Partition Functions, the Bekenstein Bound and Temperature Inversion in Anti–de Sitter Space and its Conformal*

Boundary, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 084009.

18. Dowker,J.S. *Modular properties of Eisenstein series and statistical physics.* ArXiv:0810.0537
19. Dowker,J.S. *Finite temperature and vacuum effects in higher dimensions*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **1** (1984) 359.
20. Glaisher,J.W.L. *On certain sums of products of quantities depending on the divisors of a number*, *Messenger Math.* **15** (1886) 1.
21. Glaisher,J.W.L. *On the series which represent the twelve elliptic and four zeta functions*, *Messenger Math.* **18** (1889) 1.
22. Dowker,J.S. and Kirsten,K. *Elliptic aspects of statistical mechanics on spheres*, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008) 113513.
23. Berndt,B.C. *Analytic Eisenstein Series, Theta Functions and series relations in the spirit of Ramanujan*, *J. f. reine u. Angew. Math.* **303/304** (1978) 332.
24. Kutasov D. and Larsen,F. *Partition Sums and Entropy Bounds in Weakly Coupled CFT*, *JHEP* 0101:001,2001.
25. Beccaria,M., Bekaert,X. and Tseytlin,A.A. *Partition function of free conformal higher spin theory*, *JHEP* **08**(2014)113
26. Dowker,J.S. *Spherical Casimir pistons*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **28** (2011) 155018.
27. Azeyanagi,T., Nishioka,T. and Takayanagi,T. *Near extremal black hole entropy as entanglement entropy via* AdS_2/CFT_1, *Phys. Rev.* **D7** (2008) 064005.